1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA \* \* \* 6 7 PERFEKT MARKETING, LLC, Case No. 2:15-CV-717 JCM (PAL) 8 Plaintiff(s), ORDER 9 v. 10 LUXURY VACATION DEALS, LLC, et al., 11 Defendant(s). 12 13 Presently before the court are the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Leen. 14 (Doc. # 26). No objections have been filed, and the deadline for filing objections has now passed. 15 This case arises out of a complaint in intervention to interple d funds filed by Chicago Title 16 Company, Inc. ("Chicago Title"). Chicago Title holds \$60,120.25 in a security fund for defendant 17 Luxury Vacation Deals, LLC ("LVD"). (Doc. # 1-2). 18 LVD deposited these funds in Chicago Title's escrow trust account pursuant to purchase 19 agreements executed between LVD and purchasers of sub-license agreements to LVD's "Travel 20 To Go" travel club program. (Doc. # 1-2). 21 On December 3, 2014, plaintiff/defendant in intervention Perfekt Marketing, LLC 22 ("Perfekt Marketing") obtained an order directing Chicago Title to disburse the amount in the 23 security fund to Perfekt Marketing. However, LVD and Chicago Title have received numerous 24 conflicting claims to the money by LVD's creditors. (Doc. #1-2). 25 On April 22, 2015, Chicago Title filed a motion to interplead funds. (Doc. # 10). No 26 opposition was filed. Accordingly, Judge Leen issued an order and report and recommendation, 27 in which she granted the motion to interplead funds and recommended that Chicago Title be 28

1 2

3 4

5 6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

dismissed from the action and discharged from any further liability for funds on deposit in the escrow fund. (Doc. # 26).

This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Reyna–Tapia* as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then this court may accept the recommendation without review. See, e.g., Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

Nevertheless, this court finds it appropriate to engage in a de novo review to determine whether to adopt the recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the recommendation and underlying briefs, this court finds good cause appears to ADOPT the magistrate judge's findings in full.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Leen, (doc. # 26), be, and the same hereby are, ADOPTED in their entirety.

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

## Case 2:15-cv-00717-JCM-PAL Document 28 Filed 07/13/15 Page 3 of 3

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon deposit of the disputed funds, Chicago Title be dismissed from this action and discharged from any further liability for funds on deposit in the escrow fund at issue in this case. DATED July 13, 2015. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge